In re: Guido et al.

Application No.: 10/735,966 Filed: December 15, 2003

Page 8

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY AND REMARKS

Applicants submit the present Supplemental Amendment and Interview Summary in response to a telephone call from Examiner Cao H. Nguyen on September 12, 2007. During this telephone call, Examiner Nguyen indicated that the case appeared to be in condition for allowance except for Claim 1. Examiner Nguyen indicated that if Applicants included the recitations of one of the dependent claims in Claim 1, or cancelled Claim 1 and rewrote the claims depending therefrom into independent form, the case would be in condition for allowance. Applicants appreciate Examiner Nguyen contacting Applicants' representative directly regarding this matter, and the courtesies extended during the telephone call.

In a follow-up teleconference, Applicants indicated that they would write the recitations of Claim 5 into Claim 1, and rewrite Claim 2 into independent form in order to place the case in condition for allowance. It was agreed that Applicants would effect this change, as rewriting Claim 2 into independent form incurs an excess claim fee charge, necessitating that Applicants make the claim amendments.

The present Supplemental Amendment and Interview Summary makes the above referenced changes to Claims 1 and 2. it further cancels Claim 5 and changes the dependency of Claim 9 in light of the amendments to Claim 1. In light of these Amendments, it is Applicants understanding that the case is now in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

D. Redol A-

D. Randal Ayers

Registration No. 40,493

Customer No. 20792
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec
P. O. Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627 Telephone: (919) 854-1400 Facsimile: (919) 854-1401